| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|-----------------------|--------------|
| | 10/590,397 | CHEN, YURONG |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Brian E. Weinrich | 2169 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: <u>pending</u> | | |
| (1) <u>Brian E. Weinnich</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Mark Rozman</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 13 April 2010 | Time: <u>12:15 PM</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| rejection(s) discussed. | | |
| Claims discussed: 1-30. | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: We discussed whether to use the submission of August 21, 2006 or the submission of March 19, 2008 for examination purposes because the submission of March 19, 2008 is not compliant. The attorney said to use the submission of August 21, 2006. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview | | |
| did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| /Brian E. Weinrich/ Examiner Art Unit 2169 | | |

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)